

COURTS IN GRAHAM COUNTY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Implement Adult Drug Court and accomplish related integration with treatment providers.
- Implement statewide courthouse security standards; add recording ability for video surveillance.
- Improve courtroom infrastructure to accommodate videoconferencing and remote video interpreting.
- Improve public information on superior court and local websites via interactive applications and video content.
- Continue to digitize paper records, especially older ones and destroy records no longer needed.

CY 2015/16 COURT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Successfully exercised business continuity plan following October 2016 Safford courthouse flood.
- Superior Court improved courtroom amplification and added significant information to website.
- Thatcher Municipal Court added significant content to its website.
- Safford Justice Court implemented OnBase disconnected scanning solution and began scanning case-related documents.
- Pima Justice Court implemented video surveillance equipment to monitor lobby, courtroom, and outside of facility.
- Continued sharing field trainer with Greenlee County courts.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS, CONCERNS, AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMS	Recognize the need and advantages; will be late-cycle adopters.
JOLTZaz	Generally positive assessment; concern about functionality of the planned interface; will be a mid-cycle adopter.
LJ EDMS	Safford Justice implemented disconnected scanning to support e-filing; muni courts will adopt with AJACS.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Recognize importance of e-filing but need to improve business practices first; will be late adopters.
Bench Automation	Superior court willing to be early adopter; other courts late adopters.
LJ Case Worksheet	New item; no input provided.

RISKS AND CONCERNS (SECURITY AND ARCHITECTURE)

- Some local courts still using Omni Forms package with AZTEC; will require recreation of forms in AJACS.
- Don't perform local development; no custom applications.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Hardware/Software Solutions for Videoconferencing	FY17		X		Superior Court, Pima Justice, Thatcher Muni with jail
Upgrade Digital Audio Quality	FY18		X		Superior Court; improve quality of recording
Facility Video Surveillance	FY20		X		Superior Court
Video Remote Interpreting	FY18		X		Superior Court/AOC
E-Bench	FY18	X			Superior Court; all judges

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.